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Abstract
The Audio-Magnetotellurics (AMT) method was used to study the heterogeneity level of shallow geological strata along a 36.6 km profile by different audio-frequency magnetotelluric techniques in the Mamfe sedimentary basin of southwestern Cameroon. The aim was to present the geoelectric structure of part of the southern section of the basin. The subsurface electrical anisotropy model was computed and showed anisotropy coefficient which varies between 0.7% and 2.6% indicating a degree of cracking less than 1 mm. The apparent resistivity profiles and pseudo-sections of transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes were computed and helped to highlight various electrical discontinuities in the basement and a multiplicity of heterogeneous layers. The subsurface geoelectrical model was performed and showed horizontal and vertical distribution of average electrical resistivities of layers that varies between 1 and 144 Ohm-m, at the depth greater than 2500 m. This model has highlighted three major discontinuities across the profile associated with superficial flaws. A vertical contact sediment/basement was found between Baku and Eshobi and was associated with a southeast edge of the Mamfe basin.
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Introduction
Over the past three decades, and particularly over the intervals 1985-1995 and 2005-2010, magnetotellurics has undergone a revolution driven by four main factors: (1) The emergence of low-power, low-cost, 24-bit digital electromagnetic sensing and recording technologies, (2) Dramatic improvements in the understanding of noise in electromagnetic measurements, with the concomitant evolution of data processing algorithms, (3) Substantial advances ability to recognize and remove distortion by near surface structure local to the measurement point that is the base of practical magnetotellurics, and (4) The development of fast two-and three-dimensional (2D and 3D) modeling and inversion capabilities concurrent with the constantly increasing power of computers [1]. In the 1970s, a typical magnetotelluric survey consisted of a handful of sites whose data were analyzed using ordinary least-squares methods, smoothed in the frequency domain to reduce data scatter, and interpreted using one-dimensional (1D) models “stitched” together into a 2D pseudo-section that may, or may not, be tested through 2D forward modeling [1]. By the 1990s, surveys comprising several tens of sites along a single line were common, data were processed using robust methods, which produced substantially more reliable response...
estimates that were subsequently analyzed for galvanic distortion, and rapid 2D modeling and inversion were standard [1]. By the 2010s, magnetotelluric surveys consisting of many hundreds of sites, with areal rather than linear coverage, are being carried out, data processing is semi-automatic, usually using bounded influence or multivariate approaches, multi-site distortion removal is being applied routinely, 2D interpretation, often including anisotropy, is routine [1].

The Audio-frequencies magnetotelluric (AMT) used from the context of this study is a variant of magnetotelluric. This method was conducted in the southern area of the Mamfe basin which is located about 150 km to the northeast of the meeting point of the early Cretaceous Benue Trough-Equatorial Atlantic-South Atlantic rift system triple junction. The Mamfe sedimentary basin is difficult to access due to its rugged terrain and the vast vegetation that hides most of the major geological structures and formations. Several previous studies have been carried out on the Mamfe sedimentary basin from the multidisciplinary framework [2-25].

Our study is part of the work of Nguimbous-Kouoh [12], in the southern area of the Mamfe basin. It is motivated by the need to significantly increase the geophysical knowledge of the basin. Its aims are to identify the geological strata heterogeneity, to estimate the degree of shallow layers cracking, and to propose the subsurface geoelectric structure of the southern area of the Mamfe sedimentary basin.

A discussion is conducted to assess the validity of the AMT method used in this study; the correlation between this study and some previous studies in the basin are discussed and suggestions are made for a more detailed study of the basin in light of finding commercial accumulations of hydrocarbons.

Geology of the Study Area

The Mamfe sedimentary basin is an intracratonique rift basin formed in response to the dislocation of the Gondwana supercontinent and following the separation of South American and African plates. The basin is a small extension of the Benue sedimentary basin (Figure 1a). The basin is favorable to the exploration and exploitation of salt springs, minerals, precious stones and hydrocarbons. It has an area of approximately 2400 km² and is located between latitudes 5°30'N and 6°00'N and longitudes 8°30' and 9°30'E (Figure 1b). It has the form of a plain with an average altitude that varies between 90 and 300 m above the sea level. Its average crustal thickness is between 33 and 40 km and the coat is at a depth of about 57 km [2-25].

Figure 1b shows the available geological map of the basin. Some geological details were extrapolated or removed. The geological map is preliminary and has jointly been updated following several studies. The morphology of the area is characterized by a succession of horst and grabens. Overall, the Mamfe sedimentary basin has a NW-SE structural trend with a length of 130 kilometers and a width of approximately 60 kilometers. It is bordered by faults, lineaments and rivers such as Manyu, Munaya and extends from Cameroon to Nigeria [2-25]. Lithologically, the basin is formed by thick Cretaceous age sediments whose thickness can vary according to the study site. It rests on granite-gneissic bedrock of Precambrian age. The order of the geological formations,
from bottom to top, has a succession of granite, shale, sandstone, clays and laterite. The lamination forms a typical sigmoid syncline structure which is oriented E-W and plunge axes of 10° to about 20° W.

The tectonic history of the basin highlights the structures and syn-to post-sedimentary which has two main phases [2-25]:

- An expansion phase characterized by sedimentation.
- A compression phase during which sedimentation ended and the basin was closed.

The compression phase resulted in the creation of anticlinal and synclinal structures, horst and grabens deeding NW-SE. The phase of sedimentation and extension resulted in the creation of faults and syn-sedimentary folds.

The Magnetotelluric Method

The magnetotelluric (MT) method is a passive surface measurement of the earth’s natural electrical (E) field and magnetic (H) field in orthogonal directions. It can be shown that the relationship between the horizontal orthogonal magnetic and electric fields depend on the subsurface resistivity structure. It is therefore used to determine the conductivity (resistivity) of the earth, ranging from a few tens of meters to several hundreds of kilometers. The fundamental theory was first developed by Tikhonov and Cagniard [26,27]. MT generally refers

Figure 1b: Geologic map of Mamfe sedimentary basin (modified from Avbovbo, Ajonina, et al.) [24,42].

Figure 2: The natural magnetic field spectrum (modified from Bastani) [29].

to recording of 10 kHz to 1000 s (0.001 Hz) or as low as 10,000 s (0.0001 Hz). AMT (audio MT) refers to audio frequencies, generally recording 100 Hz to 10 kHz. LMT-Long period MT generally refers to recording from 1.000 s to 10,000 s or much higher (to 100,000). The depth of penetration of MT soundings depends on the frequency, the lower the frequency the greater the depth of penetration and vice versa. The earth’s electromagnetic field contains a wide spectrum (Figure 2). The low frequencies are generated by ionospheric and magnetospheric currents caused by solar wind (plasma) interfering with the earth’s magnetic field. Higher frequencies (< 1 Hz) are due to thunderstorms near equator distributed as guided waves between the earth and the ionosphere. The time varying magnetic field induces electric field and hence currents in the ground. By measuring variations in the magnetic and electric fields in the surface of the ground, information on the subsurface resistivity can be obtained.

The sunspot cycle has been measured since the mid 17th century. There is a regular cycle of little over 10 years. Presently there is a minimum of activity (Figure 3), making MT soundings difficult in present years.

A typical setup for an MT sounding is shown in Figure 4: The setup of a magnetotelluric sounding (modified from Bastani) [29].

Figure 3: Current sunspot cycle (modified from Bastani) [29].

Figure 4: The setup of a magnetotelluric sounding (modified from Bastani) [29].
General theory of magnetotelluric

The magnetotelluric methods use the four Maxwell’s equations which describe the electromagnetic field. They are Gauss’s law for the magnetic field (\(\text{div} \mathbf{B} = 0\)) and Gauss’s law for the electric field (\(\text{div} \mathbf{D} = q\)) Faraday’s law and Ampère’s law with Maxwell’s term: Gauss’s law for the magnetic field (\(\text{curl} \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{J}\)) Faraday’s law and Ampère’s law with Maxwell’s term:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} & = \mathbf{J} \quad \text{(Faraday’s law)} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} & = 0 \quad \text{(Ampère’s law)}
\end{align*}
\]

\(\mathbf{E}\): Electric field intensity (V/m); \(\mathbf{H}\): Magnetic field intensity (A/m); \(\mathbf{J}\): Electrical current density; \(\sigma\): Conductivity (Siemens/m); \(\mu\): Magnetic permeability; \(\epsilon\): Electrical permittivity; \(\rho\): Earth resistivity.

Assuming: Harmonic dependence of the oscillating electromagnetic fields: \(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H} = e^{i\omega t}\); \(\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}\): Angular frequency; \(T\): period; gives: \(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} = i\omega \mathbf{E}; \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = i\mu \mathbf{H}\); \(\mathbf{E}\) vertical incident plane wave: \(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial y} = 0\).

Using Faraday’s law above, gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial y} & = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial z} & = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial z} & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly applying Ampère’s law above gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial y} & = \sigma \mathbf{E} + i\omega \mathbf{E} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial x} & = \sigma \mathbf{E} + i\omega \mathbf{E} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial x} & = \sigma \mathbf{E} + i\omega \mathbf{E} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Combining Faraday’s and Ampère’s law gives:

\[
k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \sigma \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2;
\]

\(k^2 = \sigma, \omega^2 \mu \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 = 10^6 \Omega m; \omega = 2\pi \cdot 10^6 \Rightarrow T = 10^{-6} \text{s}\)

For the quasi-stationary approximation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial z^2} = i\omega \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial z} = i\omega \mu (\sigma + i\omega) \mathbf{E},
\]

And

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial z^2} = i\omega \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial z} = i\omega \mu (\sigma + i\omega) \mathbf{E},
\]

This can be written:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial z^2} = k^2 \mathbf{E}, \\
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial z^2} = k^2 \mathbf{E},
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

The general solutions for a homogeneous earth (\(\sigma\) constant) can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_x & = (A_x e^{ikx} + B_x e^{ikx}) e^{iat} \\
H_x & = \frac{1}{i\omega \mu} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} = \frac{k}{i\omega \mu} (A_x e^{ix} - B_x e^{ix}) e^{iat} \\
H_y & = \frac{k}{i\omega \mu} e^{iax}
\end{align*}
\]

\(A_x, B_x\) are constants to be determined

As \(z \to \infty \Rightarrow H \to 0 \) and \(E \to 0 \Rightarrow A_x = 0\); and we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_x & = B_x e^{ikx} e^{iat} \\
H_x & = \frac{1}{i\omega \mu} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} = -\frac{k}{i\omega \mu} E_x \\
H_y & = \frac{k}{i\omega \mu} B_x e^{ikx} e^{iat} = -\frac{k}{i\omega \mu} E_x
\end{align*}
\]

The impedance tensor elements \(Z_{xy}\) are defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{xy} & = E_x \frac{1}{H_y} = \frac{i\omega \mu}{k} = \frac{i\omega \mu}{\sqrt{i\omega \mu \sigma}} = \sqrt{i\omega \mu \sigma} \approx \sqrt{i\omega \mu \sigma} \approx \sqrt{i\omega \mu \sigma} \approx \sqrt{i\omega \mu \sigma} \\
Z_{xy} & = \frac{E_x}{H_y} = \frac{i\omega \mu}{k} = \frac{i\omega \mu}{k}
\end{align*}
\]

And we can calculate the resistivity of the half-space

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{i\omega \mu} \frac{E_x}{H_y} = \frac{1}{i\omega \mu} \frac{E_x}{H_y}
\]

The resistivity may also be written as:

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{i\omega \mu} \frac{E_x}{H_y} = \frac{T}{2\pi \mu} \frac{E_x}{B^y} \cdot 2T \frac{E_x}{B^y} = 0.2 T \frac{E_x}{B^y}
\]

For a non-homogeneous earth the apparent resistivity (\(\rho_a\)) and phase \(\theta_a\) are defined as:
Audio magnetotelluric data

The data used for this study were collected using the resistivity-meter LAGAS of the Garchy laboratory in France. A line containing 6 AMT stations was used with frequencies ranging between 3-2500 Hz. The geographical coordinates of the line can be found in Figure 1b and Table 1 [12,15]. The profile is approximately 36.6 kilometers and is oriented approximately NW-SE. The location of stations and the direction of the line were obtained using a compass and a GPS. The electrodes were deployed at each station, using two electrodes on the north-south direction and two on the east-west direction. The length of the telluric line was set to 100 m on both directions. The names of the stations were selected such that they correspond to the localities; we have: Ndwap (M1), Abonando (M2) Esagem1 (M3), Esagem2 (M4), Baku (M5) and Eshobi (M6) (Figure 1b).

The processing of the data consisted in spectral analysis and manual editing for the removal of noisy data. The inversion of the data was done with the software [33-35]. The general definition of the impedance tensor is:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_x \\
E_y \\
H_x \\
H_y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
Z_{xx} & Z_{xy} \\
Z_{yx} & Z_{yy}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
H_x \\
H_y
\end{bmatrix} = Z \hat{H}
\]

For 1D earth, \( Z_{xx} = Z_{yy} = 0 \) and \( Z_{xy} = -Z_{yx} \).

For 2D earth it is possible to rotate the tensor in the strike direction and get \( Z_{xx} = Z_{yy} = 0 \).

For 3D earth it is not possible to rotate the tensor and get \( Z_{xx} = Z_{yy} = 0 \).

The magnetotelluric method is well documented in the literature [28-32].

Table 1: GPS Coordinates of different stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Longitude °E</th>
<th>Latitude °N</th>
<th>UTM - Easting (km)</th>
<th>UTM - Northing (km)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDWAP</td>
<td>009°03.920</td>
<td>05°56.151</td>
<td>999.083.867</td>
<td>556.040.656</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABONANDO</td>
<td>009°06.985</td>
<td>05°53.820</td>
<td>999.141.371</td>
<td>556.005.783</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAGEM1</td>
<td>009°11.846</td>
<td>05°52.574</td>
<td>999.141.371</td>
<td>555.979.698</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAGEM2</td>
<td>009°15.091</td>
<td>05°47.664</td>
<td>999.280.306</td>
<td>555.889.973</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKU</td>
<td>009°18.360</td>
<td>05°48.007</td>
<td>9.993.441</td>
<td>555.888.216</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESHOBI</td>
<td>009°21.555</td>
<td>05°47.119</td>
<td>999.405.613</td>
<td>555.888.216</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 5: Anisotropic Model of Profile.
The mean apparent electrical resistivities at each frequency were calculated using the following formula: $\rho_a = \frac{\rho_{EW} + \rho_{NS}}{2}$.

**Structural Interpretation**

The visualization of the heterogeneity effects and the structural interpretation were done using the result from the anisotropy profile (Figure 5), the mono-frequency profiles (Figure 6), the pseudo-sections (Figure 7) and the geoelectric model (Figure 8).

**Profile of anisotropy**

The two resistivities $\rho_{NS} = \rho_{xy}$ and $\rho_{EW} = \rho_{yx}$ are not equal and the electrical resistivity structure is 1D. The coefficients of anisotropy are used to settle the 1D assumption, to test the data quality and to determine the degree of subsurface cracking [35,36]. Here we want to evaluate the variability of the coefficient of anisotropy, to identify the heterogeneity of strata and estimate the degree of underground cracking.

Figure 5 is a reproduction of the underground electric distribution of apparent anisotropy coefficients along the profile. High or low anisotropy values are observed at different depths. The electrical anisotropy coefficients are represented by different colors and their values vary between 0.7% and 2.6%. The blue and black colors match the signature of the geological layers of low and medium anisotropy. These layers can be associated with some heterogeneous layers while the green colors, red and yellow are the signature of geological layers of high anisotropy. They may correspond to highly heterogeneous layers. The fact that the coefficient of anisotropy varies between 0.7% and 2.6% is evidence of little data dispersion between the telluric and magnetic direction. It is also evidence of a low degree of geological layers cracking.

**Figure 6a: Monofrequency electrical profiling TE mode E-W.**

**Figure 6b: Monofrequency electrical profiling TM mode N-S.**
Monofrequencies profiling

The profile of electrical resistivity allows the qualitative study of non-tabular structures. It helps highlighting the lateral distribution of apparent resistivity for each frequency.

Figures 6a and Figure 6b show the lateral variations of apparent resistivity for the twelve frequencies. The TE and TM modes are displayed such that, the distance between stations are plotted on the x-axis while the apparent resistivity in logarithmic scale is plotted on the y-axis. Overall, the two profiles of resistivity show areas of with relative conductive or resistant discontinuities. These discontinuities may represent faults or zones of contact between layers of different lithologies. The change in slope between different stations marks the change of geological strata. A gentle slope corresponds to a minor lithological change while an abrupt change in slope is associated with a significant lithological change between the stations.

Pseudo-sections of apparent resistivity

Figures 7a and Figure 7b show the response of the
pseudo-sections in TE and TM modes. The interval between resistivity contours is the same in both modes. The label frequencies are two on the color scale. The pseudo-sections in TE and TM modes are displayed such that the distances between stations are plotted on the abscissa and the frequencies are plotted on the ordinate. Overall, the two pseudo-sections have electrical discontinuities or abnormalities that may be associated with underground geological structures. The resistivity following the N-S magnetic mode are high to low at high frequencies (1-260 ohm-m), while the resistivity on the TE mode (E-W) are relatively low (1-85 Ohm-m). This generally indicates that the telluric current meets a great resistance when passing through the geological layers in the magnetic direction N-S. The two pseudo-sections highlight two shallow discontinuities near the stations M2 and M4. They also allow locating a geometric boundary between the stations M5 and M6. This boundary may correspond to a zone of contact between two geological layers of different types. The electrical resistivities are represented by different colors just below the surface and at depth. The observation of the distribution of these colors on both pseudo-sections shows that the geological layers are more heterogeneous in the E-W direction than in the N-S direction.

Interpretation of the geoelectric model

The geoelectric model is a representation of the variation of the subsurface electrical resistivity with depth obtained after the inversion of processed field data. Generally, it is assumed that the resistivity do not change in the direction perpendicular to the profile. The starting model can be a homogeneous model or an estimated model obtained using a priori geological information. The final model obtained after the inversion generally requires several iterations and is non-unique. Additional information such as resistivity logs are necessary to constraint the inversion and obtain the best model. The final model can be represented using contour map of constant resistivity values [36-39].

The geoelectrical model of our profile is displayed in Figure 8. This model represents the vertical and horizontal distribution of underground average resistivity. The discontinuities depth and prospected layers is greater than 2500 m. The contour curves are such that, by reducing the interval between the resistivity we manage to combine low-contrast geological layers; which allows to observe the major discontinuities. When the intervals between the resistivities are very large, layers of different resistivity values are highly fragmented, and do not allow precise location of discontinuities. The model has different resistivity horizons: the low resistivity values (1-25 Ohm-m) can be associated with all the sedimentary rocks between station M1 and M5; while the high resistivity (26-144 Ohm-m) can be associated with relatively high resistivity rocks, probably the basement, between stations M5 and M6. The model has three shallow discontinuities near stations M2, M3 and M4. These three discontinuities may be associated with subterraneean fractures. Whereas the corresponding limit to the discontinuity between M5 and M6 may be associated with a stepped hole; considering the difference in altitude (35 m) between the two stations (Table 1). This limit can also

![Figure 8: Geoelectric model of apparent resistivity (different color scales are used to highlight underground structures).](image-url)
be associated with a vertical contact between sediments and basement rocks. It is substantially perpendicular to the profile.

**Discussion**

This study shows that the anisotropy in the area is related to shallow heterogeneities. This anisotropy may be associated with the occurrence of shallow scattered volcanic rocks from the Cameroon Volcanic line in the basin (Figure 1a and Figure 1b).

Roger Guerin [36] has shown that the scatters greater than 1 mm are detectable for values of anisotropy coefficient lower than 0.42 or greater than 3.14. Similarly, when the anisotropy coefficient is greater than 1 (respectively less than 1) scatters are preferentially oriented at 0 degrees (respectively 90 degrees) in the direction of the profile. Based on this result, we can conclude that the degree of scattering along the southern part of the basin is less than 1 millimeter and that the orientation of scatters is between 0 and 90 degrees.

Telford, et al. [40] demonstrated that the values of the anisotropy coefficient can be as large as 2 in some graphitic slates, and range from 1 to 1.2 in rocks such as limestone, shale and basalt. Manguelle-Dicoum, et al. [41] calculated the coefficients of anisotropy of various rocks collected in the Douala sedimentary basin; they demonstrated that the range of values 1.02 to 1.06 could be associated with intercalated shale with sandstone and/or thick sections of alluvium. Based on these assumptions, and considering that the Mamfe sedimentary basin and the Douala basin are two neighboring structures of the Benue trough, we can tentatively conclude that the rocks in the southern part of the basin are basalts, shales, sandstone and alluvium.

Correlations between this study and other geological, geochemical and geophysical observations in the field [10,11,17] allow us to say that the low resistivity values associated with volcanic and sedimentary rocks are probably due to the occurrence of shallow salt springs that are present at different locations in the basin or the presence of hydrocarbon.

The aeromagnetic study by Kangkolo [8] revealed the existence of mineralized zones at the boundaries between sediment and basalts in the south-eastern part of the basin. The contact zone sediment/basement identified between Baku and Eshobi can also be associated with a zone of mineralization.

The thickness of sediments obtained from this profile (> 2500 m) is relatively close to that obtained by Kangkolo [8] (2000 m) by spectral analysis and 2.5D modeling of aeromagnetic data of different profiles across two locations (Adjayoudip and Osing-Ikom) located above basaltic flows.

This study, and that by Nouayou [15] show that the audio-magnetotelluric method is effective in the study of the Mamfe basin to a maximum depth of approximately 2500 m. Imaging deeper structures will necessitate the use of frequencies (below 1 Hz).

**Conclusion**

The implementation of the audio-magnetotelluric method in the Mamfe sedimentary basin allowed us to explore the deep basin, to observe the horizontal and vertical variation of anisotropy coefficients and resistivity along the southern part of the Mamfe basin. The anisotropy model enabled to distinguish different heterogeneous areas of the profile. The pseudo-sections in telluric and magnetic modes allowed highlighting two major discontinuities and identifying the most heterogeneous layers in the E-W direction. The apparent geo-electric model allowed highlighting three major discontinuities that were associated with shallow faults or fractures. Based on this study and previous studies carried out on the basin, it would be interesting for future studies to improve knowledge of the basin using tensor decomposition to study the dimensionality and extract the 2D and 3D impedance tensor which should be corrected for static shift caused by shallow heterogeneities. A more complete study of the basin should be conducted with the contribution of other geophysical methods such as Helio-magnetotelluric and seismic.
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